



| Rating | STRONG BUY |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| Price | N 625.00 |
| FVE | ₩975.27 |
| 52-week range | N 450- N 785 |
| Market Cap. (₩bn) | 367.8 |
| 1-month Avg. Vol | 79,165 |
| Curr. PE | 2.91x |



Kayode Omosebi kayode.omosebi@arm.com.ng

Click **here** for last report on Seplat

Seplat Plc. (SEPLAT.NL)

Initial View

Higher downtime in Q2 offset by lower finance charge

• Earlier today, Seplat Petroleum Development Company Plc (Seplat) published its half-year 2018 results, posting PAT of \$48.5 million relative to loss after tax of \$27.6 million in the corresponding period of 2017. In terms of drivers, working interest production stood at 51.1kbpod (H1 17: 26.4kbpod) on the back of higher crude oil and gas volumes of 25.3kbpd and 155MMscfd respectively. Also, average realized crude oil and gas prices stood at \$69.1/bbl. and \$3.04/Mscf respectively (H2 17: \$45.0/bbl. and \$2.97/Mscf). Net cash flow from operations of \$245 million against capex of \$21 million.

• Higher downtime in Q2 miffed production volumes

In the second quarter alone, volumes declined by 9.3% QoQ to 48.6kbpod reflecting lower oil (-15% QoQ to 23.3kbpd) and gas volumes (-4% QoQ to 152MMscfd). Parsing through the details reveals downtime increased to 24% (Q1 18: 16%). However, management noted that the downtime was one-off and production volumes are likely to revert to prior levels. To add, while crude oil price contracted to \$54.28/bbl. (Q1 18: \$56.76/bbl.), gas prices increased to \$3.27/Mscf (Q1 18: \$2.75/Mscf). Consequently, while gas revenue increased 16% QoQ to \$45.8 million, the decline in oil revenue (17.5% QoQ to \$116.3 million), drove a 10% QoQ decline in overall revenue to \$162 million. Overall, production margin contracted by 1.2pps QoQ to 50.3% reflecting the faster decline in revenue relative to cost (-8.1% QoQ to \$80.6 million).

- **Higher expenses further compress margins** Further stoking margins at the operating level was the marked increase in general and admin expenses (64% QoQ to \$22.9 million) reflecting a 240% QoQ increase in other general expenses and professional fees (+23% QoQ). The foregoing alongside weaker sales drove a 7.6pps contraction in EBIT margin to 36.1%.
- Lower finance charges offset weak topline Despite the weaker margin up till operating level, net finance cost was sizably lower (-52% QoQ) to offset weak margins and drive strong growth in PAT (+36% QoQ to \$28 million). In terms of the specifics, reflecting strong cash position (operating cash flow of \$245 million), Seplat reported strong finance income of \$2.9 million (+104% QoQ). Elsewhere, finance charges moderated by 43% QoQ to \$12.1 million, mirroring the company's refinancing plans in Q1 2018.
- Management Update At the conference call this morning, management gave the following update.
 - Oben Hub. Commissioning phase of the 459MW Azura-Edo IPP expected to be completed in Q3 2018 after which deliveries will move to the contracted level of 116 MMscfd under take-or-pay terms, which is expected to take contracted gas sales to a sustained level of 400 MMscfd gross.
 - Escravos pipeline and ANOH project. Slower than expected progress has led to revised timeline for delivery of the Amukpe Escravos pipeline and FID at the ANOH project. Now expected in Q4 2018 (previously Q3 2018).
 - Upstream Activities. OML's 4, 38, 41 Drilling of one new gas production well at
 Oben and one gas well workover. OML 53 Re-entry and completion of two Ohaji
 South oil wells, one oil well workover at Jisike and flowline installation.
- More Analysis to Follow.

Summary of Results and Forecasts - Naira $(\frac{\mathbb{N}}{})$

| Income statement – (\$'000) | Q2 18 | Q1 18 | Q2 17 | YoY growth | QoQ growth | H1 18 | H1 17 |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|---------------|-----------|----------|
| Oil production (bbl/d) | 23.3 | 27.3 | 14.0 | | | 25.3 | 9.5 |
| Gas production (mmscfd | 152 | 158.0 | 108.7 | | | 155 | 101.3 |
| Total volume (boed) | 48.6 | 53.6 | 32.1 | | | 51.1 | 26 |
| Avg. realized price | 36.2 | 37.0 | 28.9 | | | 36.6 | 27 |
| Revenue | 162,088 | 180,588 | 84,515 | 91.8% | -10.2% | 342,676 | 131,814 |
| Production cost | (80,636) | (87,728) | (50,003) | 61.3% | -8.1% | (168,364) | (78,187) |
| Gross profit | 81,452 | 92,860 | 34,512 | 136.0% | -12.3% | 174,312 | 53,627 |
| SGA | (22,860) | (13,892) | (19,556) | 16.9% | 64.6% | (36,752) | (36,315) |
| Operating profit | 58,592 | 78,968 | 14,956 | 291.8% | -25.8% | 165,301 | 17,312 |
| Net interest expense | (12,107) | (24,966) | (16,719) | -27.6% | -51.5% | (37,073) | (33,690) |
| PBT | 62,487 | 58,810 | (8,136) | - | 6.3% | 121,297 | (26,454) |
| PAT | 27,987 | 20,557 | (8,436) | - | 36.1% | 48,544 | (27,573) |

Source: Company Financials, ARM Research

| Key Ratios | Q2 18 | Q1 18 | Change(pps) | H1 18 | H2 17 | Change QoQ (pps) |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| Gross margin | 50.3% | 51.4% | (1.17) | 50.87% | 40.7% | 10.18 |
| EBIT margin | 36.1% | 43.7% | (7.58) | 48.24% | 13.1% | 35.10 |
| PBT margin | 38.6% | 32.6% | 5.99 | 35.40% | 0.0% | |
| PAT margin | 17.3% | 11.4% | 5.88 | 14.17% | 0.0% | |
| Effective tax rates | 55.2% | 65.0% | (9.83) | 60.0% | 4.2% | 55.75 |

Source: Company Financials, ARM Research

ARM ratings and recommendations

ARM now employs a two-tier rating system which is based on systemic importance of the security under review and the deviation of our target price for the stock from current market price. We characterize systemic importance as a function of a stock's ranking among the group of top 20 stocks by NSE market capitalization over a trailing 6-month period (minimum) to the review date. We adopt a 5-point rating system for this category of stocks and a 3-point rating system for stocks outside this group. The choice of top 20 stocks arises from the consideration that this group of stocks constitutes >75% of overall market capitalization and stocks outside this group are generally less liquid and individually account for <<1% of market capitalization. For stocks in both categories, the basis for ratings subject to target price deviation is outlined below:

| TOP 20 | | NON-TOP 20 | |
|-------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Rating | Deviation | Rating | Deviation |
| STRONG BUY | >20% | BUY | >20% |
| OVERWEIGHT | 10% — 20 % | NEUTRAL | 5% — 20 % |
| NEUTRAL | 0% — 10 % | SELL | <5% |
| UNDERWEIGHT | -5% — 0% | · | |
| SELL | <-5% | | |

| RECOMMENDATION | KEY |
|----------------|--|
| Rating | Recommendation |
| BUY | Accumulate security to a substantial extent constrained only by portfolio diversification considerations |
| OVERWEIGHT | Accumulate security to an extent moderated by cognizance of its benchmark weight |
| NEUTRAL | Maintain status quo for security with respect to current holding—i.e. keep if already holding and don't buy otherwise—subject to reasonable portfolio constraints |
| UNDERWEIGHT | Minimize exposure to security taking cognizance of its index weighting |
| SELL | Sell-off security completely from portfolio |
| | In certain circumstances, Asset & Resource Management ("ARM") Group policy and/or applicable law and regulations preclude certain types of communications, including an investment recommendation, during the course of ARM Securities' and/or ARM |
| RESTRICTED | Group's engagement in an investment banking transaction and in certain other circumstances. |

Copyright © 2018 ARM Securities Limited ("ARMS").

All rights reserved. Unauthorized use, reproduction, distribution, or disclosure of this document is strictly prohibited.

This material has been issued by ARM Securities Limited, a member of the Asset and Resource Management ("ARM") Group, and a Company regulated by the Nigerian Securities & Exchange Commission. The analyst(s) primarily responsible for preparing this research report, in whole or in part, certifies that with respect to each security or issuer covered; all the views expressed accurately reflect his/her personal views about the subject securities and issuers and no part of his/her compensation was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the inclusion of specific recommendations or views in the report.

This research report is based on information from sources that ARMS and its analysts believe to be reliable. Neither ARMS nor any of its research analysts, nor any member of the ARM Group, gives any representation or warranty, express or implied, or undertaking of any kind or assumes responsibility or liability of any kind with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the information set out in this report or any third party's use (or the results of such use) of such information. This report is provided solely for informational purposes and is not to be construed as providing advice, recommendations, or endorsements of any kind whatsoever. The investments and strategies discussed here may not be suitable for all investors; counsel of investment advisor should be obtained with regard to such investments and or strategies. This research report is not a replacement for advice from an accountant, lawyer, personal finance advisor or other category of investment advisor. The investments discussed in this report may oscillate in price or value. Opinions and information provided are made as of the date of the report issue and are subject to change without notice. This research report is not intended as an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any financial instrument. Reference herein to any specific security or financial instrument does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement or recommendation by ARMS, its directors, officers, employees, or designated agents. Members of ARM may act as broker, advisor, or lender, or make a market in any investments or issuers referenced in this report. Further information on any of the securities discussed herein may be obtained upon request to ARMS. By accepting this document, you agree to be bound by the foregoing limitations.